



Guiding Questions on how to promote an enabling environment for working in partnership

Use the following guiding questions to reflect on what extent the environment is favourable to partnership. Depending on the answers, think of what could be done to improve the ecosystem and make it more favourable to partnership.

GUIDING QUESTIONS	ANSWERS	WHAT CAN BE DONE TO IMPROVE THE ECOSYSTEM? WHICH CORRECTIVE ACTIONS CAN BE PUT IN PLACE?
Program consistency:		
Is partnership fully understood and		
endorsed by Programme managers?		
Is there a sufficient partnership expertise		
and skills base?		
Are senior staff members supportive of		
working in partnership?		
Relationship between sectors:		
Are relationships between different sectors		
positive or negative? What is the history of		
cross-sector collaboration?		
Can positive experiences be built upon and		
integrated into the design of the Program?		
Wider environment:		
How far does the legal/regulatory		
environment favour or impede working in		
partnership?		
Is the overall policy context		
favourable/restricting to multi-stakeholder		
collaboration?		

1. Based on your (MA's) experience, what is essential for the smooth application of the partnership principle in the programming phase?

Reflect on the factors listed below and rank them in terms of importance, using the following scale:

- (1) Not important
- (2) Somewhat important
- (3) Important
- (4) Don't know

Then, fill the other two columns.

FACTORS	LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE (FROM 1 TO 4)	WHY	IF IMPORTANT OR RATHER IMPORTANT, WHAT MEASURES ARE YOU GOING TO PUT IN PLACE?
Easy and timely access to relevant information for partners			
Clear rules about the selection of partners and			





transparent processes		
Administrative support		
Good collaboration		
among partners and the		
MA		
Good coordination and		
regular consultations of		
the partners by the MA		
MA Openness to receive		
input by partners and to		
promote an open		
dialogue		
Provision of the		
feedback on the		
outcome of		
programming period to		
the partners		
Other (please specify)		

2. In your opinion (of the MA), what could be the main benefits of the involvement of the partnership in the development of the Programme?

Reflect on the benefits listed below and rank them in terms of importance, using the following scale:

- (1) Not important
- (2) Somewhat important
- (3) Important
- (4) Don't know

Then, fill the other two columns.

BENEFITS	LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE (FROM 1 TO 4)	WHY	IF IMPORTANT OR RATHER IMPORTANT, HOW ARE YOU OPERATIONALISING THIS BENEFIT?
Better thematic balance and			
focus, meeting the needs and			
potential of the territory and			
the target groups covered			
through the experience and			
technical knowledge brought			
in by partners			
Collective commitment to			
and broad ownership, which			
will facilitate the			
implementation processes			
Development of innovative			
approaches			
Learning from working with			
other partners and the MA			
Broad general awareness,			
which will help to mobilise			
relevant stakeholders to			





submit funding applications		
Better complementarities		
with other policies, strategies		
and funding sources available		
in the area		
Other (please, specify)		

3. What kind of actions are you (is the MA) going to take to involve relevant partners in the preparation of the Programmes?

First of all, rank the actions in terms of importance, using the same scale from 1 (not important) to 4 (important). Then, fill the other two columns.

ACTIONS	LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE (FROM 1 TO 4)	WHY	IF IMPORTANT OR RATHER IMPORTANT, HOW ARE YOU OPERATIONALISING THIS BENEFIT?
Ensuring accessibility (e.g. for people with disabilities) Reducing administrative			
burden			
Promoting the partnership principle, its relevance and its added value to the potential partners			
Offering them timely, meaningful and transparent consultation			
Offering transparent working arrangements			
Involving them in assessing performance on different priorities, relevant report, calls for proposals			
identifying evidence on possible input (comments, concerns, recommendations) and its inclusion in the relevant programming documents			
Strengthening partners' administrative capacity Other (please specify)			

4. Is the MA planning to take onboard national, regional and local strategies in programming work? Please specify which ones and in which areas they will be taken on board.

AREAS	YES/NO	WHY	HOW
Needs analysis			
Priority development			





Fund allocation		
Indicator system		
Horizontal principles		
Other		